Correspondences Recall a correspondence between X and Y is a cycle in XXY. Namely if dimX=d, and AEZ (XXY) is a correspondence, this gives something like a map: $Z^{t}(X) \ni T \longmapsto A(T) = (P_{y})_{*}(A \cdot (T \times Y)) \in Z^{d+t-r}(Y)$

If we pass to classes via an adequate equivalence relation, then A actually induces group homomorphisms on the resulting groups.

If given such a correspondence $A \in CH(X \times Y, Q)$ (note now we pass to classes), we can define a transpose TA as the image of A under the obvious morphism $CH(X \times Y, Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} CH(Y \times X, Q)$. We now also set $Corr(X, Y) = CH(X \times Y, Q)$.

Given $f \in Corr(X,Y)$, $g \in Corr(Y,Z)$, we set $g \circ f = (P_{XXZ})_{X} ((f \times Z) \cdot (X \times g))$. Checking that this is an associative composition law is tedious, one could use "Lieberman's Lemma" which is also tedious a technical, but not difficult.

Lemna: If f Corr(X,Y), ~ e Corr(X,X'), and B E Corr(Y,Y'), then we have (xxp)xof = Bafo Tac.

We leave it to the reader to fill in the gaps (and find the identity correspondence). We call this new category $C_N \operatorname{SmProj}_{k}$, and is already additive! Any correspondence of degree Γ induces morphisms $f_X : C_n^i(X, Q) \longrightarrow C_n^{itr}(Y, Q)$, $Z \mapsto (\operatorname{Pr}_Y)_X$ ($f \cdot (\operatorname{Pr}_X)^i(Z)$). If our equivalence relation is finer then homological, we get 2r - degree maps on the chosen Weil cohomology. (Note the ramifications of conjecture D?).

Def: A projector is an element pE Corr (X, X) s.t. pop=p.

From now on, X is $\in Sm Prej_{k}$, irred., $\dim X = d$. Then $Corr^{\pm}(X,Y) = Cn^{\pm}(X \times Y, Q)$. Note all projectors are contained in $Corr^{\circ}(X,X)$.

Motives

 $\frac{\text{Def:}}{\text{The category Mot}_{k}^{\text{eff}} \text{ is the pseudo-abelian completion, i.e. objects are pairs (X, p), X \in SmProjn, and p a projector, and Hom ((X, p), (Y, g)) = go(Corr^o(X, Y)) op. The category Mot_k consist of triples (X, p, n), where ne Z and everything else the same. Morphisms are [-lom ((X, p, m), (Y, g, n)) = go(Corr^{n-m}(X, Y)) op.$

If $N = N_{rad}$, then this is the category of Chow motives. If $N = N_{rum}$, then its called numerical motives. In general, we get a functor:

ha: SmPrej , -> Mot	
$X \longmapsto (X, \Delta_{x}, o)$	> v is an adequate
$(f: X \rightarrow Y) \mapsto T_{\mu}: h_{\mu}(Y) \rightarrow h_{\mu}(X)$) equivalence relation.

In the special case of $n = n_{rat}$, we denote $h_n(-)$ as ch(-). Lets now look at some examples of motives.

$$\frac{E_{nonles}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_{n} \circ \mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}|_{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}{||\mathbf{x}||^{n}}||^{n}}} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{||\mathbf{x}|$$

5) If M=(X, P, U), then I-p is also a projector, and h. (X) = (X, P, O) @(X, I-p, O).

Some more remarks are in order Namely Motk is a Q-linear psuedo-abelian category (if we take Q-coefficients), and is a tensor category (or at least, has a tensor operation) given by: $(X, p, n) \otimes (Y, q, m) = (X \times Y, p \times q, n + m).$ If we use the unproven fact that $H_{n} \cong (\text{Speck, id, -1})$, we see that $H_{n} \otimes T_{n} = 1$. Further $\mathbb{L}_{n}^{\otimes d} \cong h^{2d}(X_{d})$ for all X irred. of dim. d (hence the fundamental class remark earlier). We also see that $(X, p, m) \cong (X, p, 0) \otimes T_{n}^{\otimes m}$. On Mote, there is also a duality operator D: Mote is Mote, sending (Xd, P, m) to (Xd, Tp, d-m). This is an involution, and allows us to define $h_{\pm}^{n} = D^{o}h_{n},$ which is a covariant functor. This is important for a homological approach, rather then cohomological. One other remark: there are non isomorphic varieties with isomorphic motives. Cohomology of Motives For any projector $p: X \to X$, we have induced maps $p_{\mu}: CH^{i}(X)_{\otimes} \longrightarrow CH^{i}(X)_{\otimes}$. Hence we can define the *itb* Chow group of a motive M=(X, p, m) as CHi(M) = Imp+ CCHi+m (X) We could also have defined $CH^i(M) = Hom_{Mot}(\mathbb{L}^{\otimes i}, M)$, indeed: Hom_{Motn} (L^{®i}, M) = Hom ((Speck, id, -i), (X, p, m)) = po Corr^{m+i} (Speck, X) = { po [] [e CH^{i+m}(X) } Now by Lieberman's lemma, $P \circ \Gamma = (id_{speck} \times P)_{\#} \Gamma$, so this is the same as $Imp_{\#}$. There was nothing special about ~rat above. Can define all cycle groups using $Hom(II_{oi}^{oi}, M)$. If we have a finer or equal to whom, then projectors act on a Weil cohomology theory via $\alpha \mapsto P_{*}(\alpha) = P_{*} \in \mathcal{C}_{xxx}(P) \cup P_{*}^{*}(\alpha)$. Hence for M = (x, p, m), we can define $H^{i}(M) = \operatorname{Im}_{P_{*}} \subset H^{i+2m}(X).$ Note that unless D(-) is true, we cannot give Mot_k cohomology groups. The subject of motivic cohomology is for from trivial, so we won't discuss it have.

Motives of Curves



Now for (C). We claim the following: If A is an Ab. Var., then there exists a curve C and a map J(C) ->> A. We also claim: Let A be an Ab. Var. and a sub. Ab. Var. B. Then I CEA w/ BAC finite and COB ~ A. So given $J(c) \rightarrow A$, taking duals gives some $A_1 \leq J(c)$ isogenous to J(c), and on $A_2 \quad s.t. \quad A_1 \oplus A_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} J(c)$. Now general nonsense about the Karoubian completion gives the claim, under the functor $Ch'(C) \rightarrow J(C)$ and part (6) showed fully faithful w/ rational coefficients.